



Shri Raman Goyal, S/o Shri Sham Lal,
R/o Opposite Dana Mandi,
Raikot.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o DPI (SE), Punjab,
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o DPI (SE), Punjab,
Mohali.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2529 of 2021

Present:- Shri Raman Goyal, appellant is present.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali.

O R D E R

Shri Raman Goyal, appellant filed RTI application dated 09.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali. When no information was provided to the appellant by the SPIO, then appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 30.03.2021. A reply was sent to the appellant by the SPIO vide letter no.2021155873 dated 13.05.2021 and the information sought by the appellant was denied. Then the appellant filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 28.05.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is present today, whereas none has appeared on behalf of the SPIO. The appellant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him.

The SPIO though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a copy of letter no.2021309554 dated 08.09.2021 along with a copy of letter no.2021155873 dated 13.05.2021.

I have gone through letter no.2021309554 dated 08.09.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the reply regarding the information sought by the appellant was sent to him vide letter dated 13.05.2021. He further submitted that the information sought by the appellant is related with the writ petitions filed by the appellant before the Hon'ble High Court and in compliance to the Order of Hon'ble High Court the adjustment of the applicant has been done. It is further submitted that the information sought by the appellant is not in public interest, hence cannot be supplied to him.

I have gone through the RTI application and also gone through the reply sent by the SPIO. The appellant sought information regarding his transfer and the SPIO has replied that the information sought by the appellant is related with the writ petitions filed by the appellant before the Hon'ble High Court and in compliance to the Order of Hon'ble High Court the adjustment of the applicant has been done. Hence, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 16.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Naresh Sekhri,
H.No. 1906/89, Street No. 1-B,
Basant Nagar, Near Baghi Stand,
New Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2541 of 2021

Present:- Shri Naresh Sekhri, appellant is absent.
ASI Satnam Singh-2150/LDH, O/o Police Station, Women, Ludhiana is present.

O R D E R

Shri Naresh Sekhri, appellant filed RTI application dated 08.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana. When no information was provided to the appellant by the SPIO, then appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 10.03.2021 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 31.05.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is absent today, whereas ASI Satnam Singh-2150/LDH, O/o Police Station, Women, Ludhiana is present and he stated that the appellant was contacted to collect the information, but he has not responded, then the attested copies of sought for information has been sent to the appellant through registered post on 14.09.2021. He further stated that yesterday i.e. 15.09.2021 the appellant has collected the information by hand also.

As the respondent has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and sent the sought for information to the appellant through registered post on 14.09.2021 and also provided by hand on 15.09.2021, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 16.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Ravinder Kataria,
64, New Sant Fateh Singh Nagar,
Dugri Road,
Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,
Shahkot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,
Shahkot.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1725 of 2021

Present:- Shri Ravinder Kataria, appellant is absent .
None present on behalf of Smt. Meera Bai, SPIO-cum-Suptd., O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Shahkot.

O R D E R

Shri Ravinder Kataria, appellant filed RTI application dated 14.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Nakodar.

Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS SOFTWARE.

Both the parties are absent today.

The SPIO though is absent today, but she has sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a copy of letter no. 969 dated 05.08.2021.

I have gone through letter no. 969 dated 05.08.2021 vide which the SPIO has sent point-wise information/reply to the appellant.

The appellant, Shri Ravinder Kataria has also sent an e-mail to the Commission and submitted that he has received the information and requested to close the case.

As the SPIO has sent the sought for information to the appellant and the appellant has also received the sought for information as per his e-mail, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 16.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Baldev Singh, S/o Shri Baaj Singh,
Village Sathiali, P.O. Nainokot,
Distt. Gurdaspur.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,
Kahnuwan, Distt. Gurdaspur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,
Gurdaspur.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3638 of 2020

Present:- Shri Baldev Singh, appellant is present.
Sh. Rajesh Kumar, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
BDPO, Kahnuwan, Distt. Gurdaspur.

O R D E R

Shri Baldev Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 06.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Kahnuwan, Distt. Kapurthala.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software. Both the parties are present today. The appellant stated that no information has been provided to him.

On the other hand the respondent stated that the information had already been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter no. 2051 dated 17.03.2021.

As the appellant insisting upon that he has not received the information, so the appellant is directed to visit the office of the SPIO today itself and collect the information.

The respondent requested that as he has already sent the sought for information to the appellant through registered post vide letter no. 2051 dated 17.03.2021, so the amount of compensation of Rs. 2,000/- be waived of and also requested to drop the show cause notice. He further assured the Commission that in future he will provide the information to the information seekers within the stipulated period.

As per the request and assurance of the respondent the compensation of Rs.2,000/- awarded in favour of the appellant is hereby waived of and the show cause notice issued to the SPIO vide Order dated 28.07.2021 is also dropped and filed with a strict direction to the respondent SPIO that in future he should remain more vigilant towards his duties and provide the information to the information seekers within the stipulated time.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the respondent after the hearing, which is a copy of receiving and the SPIO has stated that the sought for information has been provided by hand to the appellant and the appellant, Shri Baldev Singh has also made a duly signed noting after receiving the information.

As the SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and provided the sought for information to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

Dated: 16.09.2021

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Partap Singh,
S/o Shri Gurcharan Singh,
R/o Village Bhalwan,
Tehsil Dhuri, Distt. Sangrur.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Dhuri,
Distt. Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Additional Deputy Commissioner (Dev.),
Sangrur.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 153 of 2021

Present:- Shri Partap Singh, appellant is absent.
Karamjit Singh, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
BDPO, Dhuri, Distt. Sangrur.

O R D E R

Shri Partap Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 06.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Dhuri, Distt. Sangrur.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that the attested copies of information comprising of 55 pages has been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter no.2256 dated 17.08.2021 and the amount of compensation of Rs.3,000/- by way of demand draft no.000305 dated 19.08.2021 has also been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter dated 19.08.2021.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.2266 dated 19.08.2021 along with a photocopy of demand draft no.000305 dated 19.08.2021 in the name of Shri Partap Singh, appellant and a copy of letter no.2256 dated 17.08.2021. I have gone through the said letters.

As the SPIO has sent the sought for information comprising of 55 pages to the appellant through registered post vide letter no. 2256 dated 17.08.2021 and also sent the amount of compensation of Rs.3,000/- by way of demand draft no.000305 dated 19.08.2021 through registered post vide letter dated 19.08.2021, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 16.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Arun Garg (Er.),
S/o Shri Sham Lal Garg,
H. No. 40, Central Town,
Village Daad, P.O. Lalton,
Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o SHO, Police Station,
Model Town, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2978 of 2020

Present:- Shri Arun Garg, appellant is present.
SI Avneet Kaur, SPIO-cum-SHO, P.S. Model Town, Ludhiana is present.

ORDER

Shri Arun Garg, appellant filed RTI application dated 20.03.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Model Town, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

SI Avneet Kaur, SPIO-cum-SHO, P.S. Model Town, Ludhiana stated that the attested copies of 13 pages of information along with cheque no.013507 dated 15.09.2021 amounting to Rs.2,000/- as compensation has been provided by hand to the appellant on 15.09.2021.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.438-41 dated 15.09.2021 along with annexure and a photocopy of cheque no.013507 dated 15.09.2021 amounting to Rs.2,000/- in the name of Shri Arun Garg, appellant.

I have gone through letter no.438-41 dated 15.09.2021 along with annexure and the appellant has made a duly signed receiving on the said letter after receiving the information.

The appellant, Shri Arun Garg stated that complete information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

On the other hand the respondent SPIO stated that the information which was available in the office of the public authority related with the RTI application of the appellant has been provided to the appellant and except that no other information is available in the office of the public authority.

As the SPIO has provided the information to the appellant which was available in the office of the public authority and also paid the amount of compensation of Rs.2,000/- to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 16.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Ex. Nk Ravinder Singh Chauhan,
VPO Gho,
Tehsil & Distt. Pathankot.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,
Pathankot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,
Pathankot.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1384 of 2021

Present:- Ex. Nk Ravinder Singh Chauhan, appellant is present.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer, Pathankot.

O R D E R

Ex. Nk Ravinder Singh Chauhan, appellant filed RTI application dated 18.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer, Pathankot.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is present today, whereas the respondent SPIO is absent.

Ex. Nk Ravinder Singh Chauhan, appellant stated that complete information has been provided to him by the SPIO and he is satisfied with the information.

The appellant has also sent an e-mail to the Commission on 15.09.2021 and submitted in the e-mail that he has received the complete information from the PIO/DDPO, Pathankot in Appeal Case No.1398 of 2021.

As per the submission of the appellant that he has received the complete information and he is satisfied, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 16.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Gursewak Singh,
S/o Shri Kuldeep Singh Walia,
Village Meharban,
Ludhiana.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Block-2,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 541 of 2021

Present:- Shri Gursewak Singh, complainant/applicant is present.
Shri Pardeep Kumar, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
BDPO, Block-2, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Gursewak Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 05.10.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Block-2, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The complainant/applicant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

The respondent stated that the complainant/applicant sought information regarding the action taken report in relation with letter no.1114 dated 31.08.2020. He further stated that regarding this the copies of all the correspondence made with the police department has already been provided to the applicant, but he is insisting upon the action taken report, which can be sought from the police department only.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 05.10.2020 and also heard both the parties. As the respondent has provided copies of all the correspondence made with the police department to the applicant and the action taken report can be sought from the police department only.

As the PIO has replied to complaint filed by the complainant-applicant, so no complaint lies against the PIO. Hence, the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 16.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Gursewak Singh,
S/o Shri Kuldeep Singh Walia,
Village Meharban,
Ludhiana.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Block-2,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 542 of 2021

Present:- Shri Gursewak Singh, complainant/applicant is present.
Shri Pardeep Kumar, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
BDPO, Block-2, Ludhiana.

ORDER

Shri Gursewak Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 05.10.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Block-2, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The complainant/applicant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

The respondent stated that the sought for information is not available in the record of the public authority, so no information cannot be provided to the complainant/applicant.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 05.10.2020 and also heard both the parties. As per the reply of the respondent that the sought for information is not available in the record of the public authority, so he is unable to provide the information to the complainant/applicant.

As the PIO has replied to complaint filed by the complainant-applicant, so no complaint lies against the PIO. Hence, the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 16.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Gursewak Singh,
S/o Shri Kuldeep Singh Walia,
Village Meharban,
Ludhiana.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Block-2,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 543 of 2021

Present:- Shri Gursewak Singh, complainant/applicant is present.
Shri Pardeep Kumar, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
BDPO, Block-2, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Gursewak Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 29.10.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Block-2, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The complainant/applicant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

The respondent stated that the attested copies of measurement book have been provided to the applicant. He further stated that the measurement book contains all the entries of the streets constructed in the village.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 05.10.2020 and also heard both the parties. The respondent has provided the attested copies of measurement book to the applicant which contains all the entries of the streets constructed in the village.

As the PIO has provided the sought for information to the complainant-applicant and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application, so no complaint lies against the PIO. Hence, the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 16.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Gursewak Singh,
S/o Shri Kuldeep Singh Walia,
Village Meharban,
Ludhiana.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Block-2,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 544 of 2021

Present:- Shri Gursewak Singh, complainant/applicant is present.
Shri Pardeep Kumar, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
BDPO, Block-2, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Gursewak Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 05.10.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Block-2, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The complainant/applicant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

The respondent stated that as per the official record the attested copies of proceeding book comprising of 45 number of pages have been sent to the applicant through speed post on 10.09.2021.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 05.10.2020 and also heard both the parties. The respondent has sent the attested copies of proceeding book comprising of 45 pages, as per the official record, to the applicant through speed post on 10.09.2021.

As the PIO has sent the sought for information to the complainant-applicant as per the official record, so no complaint lies against the PIO. Hence, the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 16.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Gursewak Singh,
S/o Shri Kuldeep Singh Walia,
Village Meharban,
Ludhiana.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Block-2,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 545 of 2021

Present:- Shri Gursewak Singh, complainant/applicant is present.
Shri Pardeep Kumar, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
BDPO, Block-2, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Gursewak Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 05.10.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Block-2, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The complainant/applicant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

The respondent stated that as per the official record the attested copies of bills comprising of 20 pages have been sent to the applicant through speed post on 10.09.2021.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 05.10.2020 and also heard both the parties. The respondent has sent the attested copies of bills comprising of 20 pages as per the official record to the applicant through speed post on 10.09.2021.

As the PIO has sent the sought for information to the complainant-applicant as per the official record, so no complaint lies against the PIO. Hence, the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 16.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Gursewak Singh,
S/o Shri Kuldeep Singh Walia,
Village Meharban,
Ludhiana.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Block-2,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 546 of 2021

Present:- Shri Gursewak Singh, complainant/applicant is present.
Shri Pardeep Kumar, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
BDPO, Block-2, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Gursewak Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 05.10.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Block-2, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The complainant/applicant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

The respondent stated that as per the official record the attested copies of testing report of interlock tiles and the bills of interlock tiles have already been sent to the applicant on 25.08.2021 through speed post.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 05.10.2020 and also heard both the parties. The respondent has sent the attested copies of testing report of interlock tiles and the bills of interlock tiles to the applicant on 25.08.2021 through speed post.

As the PIO has sent the sought for information to the complainant-applicant as per the official record, so no complaint lies against the PIO. Hence, the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 16.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Gursewak Singh,
S/o Shri Kuldeep Singh Walia,
Village Meharban,
Ludhiana.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Block-2,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 547 of 2021

Present:- Shri Gursewak Singh, complainant/applicant is present.
Shri Pardeep Kumar, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
BDPO, Block-2, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Gursewak Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 29.10.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Block-2,, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The complainant/applicant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

The respondent stated that the complainant sought information regarding gist of report related with a complaint and as per the official record, the copies of all the related documents has been sent to the applicant through speed post on 25.08.2021, but the gist of report relating to the complaint cannot be provided to the applicant as the proceeding in the complaint is still pending.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 05.10.2020 and also heard both the parties. The respondent has sent the attested copies of all the related documents to the applicant through speed post on 25.08.2021 but the gist of report relating to the complaint cannot be provided to the applicant as the proceeding in the case is still pending.

As the PIO has sent the information to the complainant-applicant as per the official record, so no complaint lies against the PIO. Hence, the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 16.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.